# **EXHIBIT B**

#### Case 4:16-cv-02214 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 07/25/16 Page 2 of 13



Service of Process Transmittal

07/05/2016

CT Log Number 529449265

Tracey Gilliam, Chief Counsel of Litigation Metropolitan Life Insurance Company

1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-6796

RE: Process Served in Texas

FOR: MetLife Group, Inc. (Domestic State: NY)

#### ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: Wesley E. Wright on behalf of the Estate of Richard H. Boyd, etc. and Ellen A. Lloyd,

etc., Pltfs. vs. Metlife Group, Inc., Dft.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: Citation, Original Petition

COURT/AGENCY: 333rd Judicial District Court Harris County, TX

Case # 201643128

NATURE OF ACTION: Insurance Litigation

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: C T Corporation System, Dallas, TX

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Certified Mail on 07/05/2016 postmarked on 06/30/2016

JURISDICTION SERVED: Texas

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: By 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of 20 days after service

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): Joshua R. Fiores

Crain, Caton, James, P.C. 1401 McKinney, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77010

713-658-2323

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 07/06/2016, Expected Purge Date:

07/11/2016

**Image SOP** 

Email Notification, CTServiceof Process litigationintake@metlife.com

SIGNED: C T Corporation System
ADDRESS: 1999 Bryan St Ste 900

1999 Bryan St Ste 900 Dallas, TX 75201-3140 214-932-3601

**TELEPHONE:** 214-932-3601

Page 1 of 1 / PC

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.

# <del>- Արիլի գիրի անգայություն իր գորի անգայություն</del>

TXSD on 07/25/16 Page 3 of 13



## CHRIS DANIEL

HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK P.O. Box 4651 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77210-4651





047J82004178

\$07.360 06/30/2016

22000d From 77002 US POSTAGE

Metlife Group Inc By serving through its registered agent CT Corporation System 1999 Bryan Ste. 900 Dallas, Texas 75201-3136

CAUSE NO. 201643128

RECEIPT NO.

75.00

CTM

w

TR # 73260933

PLAINTIFF: WRIGHT, WESLEY E (ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF RICHARD

H BOYD A/K/A

VS.

DEFENDANT: METLIFE GROUP INC

In The 333rd Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas 333RD DISTRICT COURT Houston, TX

CITATION (CERTIFIED)

THE STATE OF TEXAS County of Harris

TO: METLIFE GROUP INC BY SERVING THROUGH ITS REGISTERED AGENT CT CORPORATION SYSTEM

1999 BRYAN STE 900 DALLAS TX 75201 - 3136 Attached is a copy of PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

This instrument was filed on the 24th day of June, 2016, in the above cited cause number and court. The instrument attached describes the claim against you.

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the District Clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m on the Monday next following the expiration of 20 days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

#### TO OFFICER SERVING:

This citation was issued on 27th day of June, 2016, under my hand and seal of said Court.

<u>Issued at request of:</u> FLORES, JOSHUA R 1401 MCKINNEY STREET, SUITE 1700 HOUSTON, TX 77010

Tel: (713) 658-2323 Bar No.: 24085110



Chin Daniel

CHRIS DANIEL, District Clerk Harris County, Texas 201 Caroline, Houston, Texas 77002 (P.O. Box 4651, Houston, Texas 77210)

Generated By: CUERO, NELSON 7MM//10418882

CLERK'S	RETURN BY MAILING				
Came to hand the day of mailing to Defendant certified mail, return copy of this citation together PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION to the following addressee at address:	receipt requested, restricted delivery, a true with an attached copy of				
	ADDRESS				
(a) ADDRESSEE	Service was executed in accordance with Rule 106 (2) TRCP, upon the Defendant as evidenced by the return receipt incorporated herein and attached hereto at				
	on day of,, by U.S. Postal delivery to				
	This citation was not executed for the following reason:				
	CHRIS DANIEL, District Clerk Harris County, TEXAS				
	By, Deputy				

\*73260933\*

N.INT.CITM.P

6/24/2016 5:26:44 PM Chris Daniel - District Clerk Harris County Envelope No. 11335161 By: Nelson Cuero

By: Nelson Cuero Filed: 6/24/2016 5:26:44 PM

2016-43128 / Court: 333

WESLEY E. WRIGHT on behalf of		
the ESTATE OF RICHARD H. BOYD,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
a/k/a RICHARD H. BOTZ, DECEASED, and	§	
ELLEN A. LLOYD, individually and as	§	
attorney-in-fact for MARGARET E. BOTZ	§	
	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
•	§	
V.	§	
	§	
METLIFE GROUP, INC.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CAUSE NO.

#### **PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THIS COURT:

COME NOW Plaintiffs, Wesley E. Wright, on behalf of the Estate of Richard H. Boyd, a/k/a Richard H. Botz, and Ellen A. Lloyd, individually, and as attorney-in-fact for Margaret E. Botz (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and file this Original Petition against Defendant, Metlife Group, Inc. and show the following:

#### **Discovery Level**

1. Pursuant to Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 190, this case should proceed under Level 2 for discovery purposes.

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff, Wesley E. Wright (the "Administrator") is the Independent Administrator of the Estate of Richard H. Boyd, a/k/a Richard H. Botz ("the Estate"). The Administrator resides in Harris County, Texas, which is also the location of Boyd's death.
- 3. Plaintiff, Ellen A. Lloyd ("Lloyd"), is an individual residing in Wisconsin and hereby submits to the jurisdiction of this Court.

- 4. Plaintiff, Ellen A. Lloyd, is also an attorney-in-fact for Margaret E. Botz ("Botz"), by virtue of a Statutory Durable Power of Attorney.
- 5. Defendant, Metlife Group, Inc. ("Metlife") is an insurance company doing business in the State of Texas and may be served with citation through its registered agent, CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Ste. 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 6. This action is brought for statutory and common law violations of Texas law arising out of life insurance coverage provided by Metlife for Richard H. Boyd, a/k/a Richard H. Botz ("Boyd"), who died intestate on June 24, 2014 in Harris County, Texas.
- 7. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter under Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 15.001 et seq. because the loss triggering Metlife's coverage (Boyd's death) occurred in Harris County, Texas.
- 8. Venue is permissive in Harris County, Texas, under TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 15.032 because Metlife is an insurance company that conducts business in Harris County, the loss occurred in Harris County, and the policy holder resided in Harris County at the time of his death.

#### Facts

- 9. In 1988, Boyd purchased Metlife Group Universal Life Insurance coverage through his employer, Bechtel Corporation. Coverage was provided under Metlife group policy number 0098020 (the "Policy"). The certificate number for the Policy was 0622372, with an effective date of April 1, 1988.
- 10. Boyd made timely payments on the Policy and its coverage continued throughout the duration of Boyd's life. Boyd died intestate on June 24, 2014 in Harris County, Texas. His only

living heirs were his sister, Ellen A. Lloyd, and his mother, Margaret E. Botz. Boyd was never married nor did he have any children.

- 11. On October 14, 2014, the Administrator filed a claim with Metlife on behalf of the Estate seeking benefits under the Policy. The Administrator mailed to Metlife a complete Life Insurance Claim Form Claimant's Statement and Claimant's Affidavit, along with Letters of Administration and a certified copy of Boyd's Death Certificate. The Administrator's Metlife claim number was 21407002690.
- 12. Shortly after filing the Estate's claim, the Administrator learned that the named beneficiary on the Policy may be "Uncle Grover Boyd." However, Boyd's birth surname was "Botz," his mother's maiden name was "Ostertag," and he did not have an uncle named "Grover." Moreover, "Grover" was actually one of Boyd's many aliases.
- 13. On February 18, 2015, the Administrator followed-up on the Estate's claim to Metlife with a detailed letter explaining the aforementioned situation about Boyd's surnames, aliases, and absence of an uncle named "Grover." To substantiate the information in the letter, the Administrator provided Metlife with, among other things, copies of Boyd's social security card, birth certificate, individual tax returns, Texas driver's licenses, his parents' marriage certificate, and his father's death certificate.
- 14. On March 11, 2015, Metlife sent a letter to the Administrator informing him that the company had received the Estate's claim, but "[d]ue to special circumstances," its evaluation of the claim would be delayed for a short period of time.
- 15. On March 23, 2015, Metlife sent a letter to the Administrator denying the Estate's request for Policy benefits. Metlife denied the Estate's claim because it alleged that the Estate was not a named beneficiary. Furthermore, despite the plethora of certified documents from the

Administrator establishing the non-existence of an "Uncle Grover Boyd," Metlife maintained that it was "unable to confirm whether the designated beneficiary is nonexistent." Although Plaintiffs believe the named beneficiary to be "Uncle Grover Boyd," Metlife refuses to confirm or deny the name of the beneficiary, let alone provide the purported document wherein Boyd made the designation.

- 16. On December 21, 2015, the Administrator re-submitted the Estate's claim for Policy benefits. The following day, Metlife once again sent a letter to the Administrator stating that it needed additional time to evaluate the claim.
- 17. On January 7, 2016, Metlife denied the Estate's claim for Policy benefits for a second time in the face of clear evidence that the named beneficiary does not exist.
- 18. On January 28, 2016, Ellen Lloyd (Boyd's sister) filed a claim with Metlife as attorney-in-fact for Margaret Botz (Boyd's mother), requesting Policy benefits. Lloyd's Metlife claim number was 21412002005.
- 19. On February 12, 2016, Metlife mailed a letter to Lloyd denying her claim for Policy benefits on behalf of Botz. The letter was identical to the ones sent to the Administrator on March 23, 2015 and January 7, 2016. In other words, Metlife once again denied Policy benefits in the face of clear evidence to the contrary.

#### **Causes of Action**

### A. First Cause of Action—Violations of Texas Insurance Code § 541

- 20. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs.
- 21. The actions, omissions and conduct of Metlife are unfair settlement practices and unfair claim settlement practices and violate § 541 (formerly 21.21) and § 542A of the Texas Insurance Code. Metlife's unfair settlement practices include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1) failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair and equitable settlement of Plaintiffs' claims when Metlife's liability had become reasonably clear; and
- 2) refusing to pay Plaintiffs' claims without conducting a reasonable investigation and/or conducting a pretextual investigation.
- 22. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs. One or more of these acts or omissions were done knowingly and Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of an amount three times their actual damages under § 541.152 of the Texas Insurance Code.

#### B. Second Cause of Action—Violation of Texas Insurance Code § 542B

- 23. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs.
- 24. Metlife has, after receiving all items, statements, and information reasonably necessary to pay the claims, unreasonably delayed payment of Plaintiffs' claims. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are entitled to the recovery of the amount of their claims, interest on the amount of their claims at the rate of 18 percent a year as damages, together with reasonable attorney's fees under § 542.060 of the Texas Insurance Code.

#### C. Third Cause of Action—Breach of Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 25. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in the previous paragraphs.
- 26. A special relationship of trust and confidence exists between Metlife and Plaintiffs, creating Metlife's duty of good faith and fair dealing as to Plaintiffs. Metlife breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing when it denied Plaintiffs Policy proceeds which the premium payments made to Metlife should have provided. Metlife ignored the proper legal standard, misrepresented the law, and intentionally misapplied and misconstrued the policy provisions and exclusions and unreasonably denied and refused to pay benefits owed under the policy. Plaintiffs sue Metlife for actual and exemplary damages resulting from Metlife's breach of its

duty and good faith and fair dealing. Metlife's conduct amounts to bad faith and unconscionable conduct.

#### Relief

- 27. Plaintiffs request the Court order that:
  - a. Metlife pay the full amount due under the Policy;
  - b. Metlife pay Plaintiffs' actual damages for Metlife's violation of the Texas

    Insurance Code;
  - Metlife pay exemplary and/or treble damages for its violations of § 541 and § 542
     of the Texas Insurance Code;
  - Metlife pay Plaintiffs' actual damages for its breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to Plaintiffs;
  - e. Metlife pay exemplary damages for its breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing;
  - f. Metlife pay an 18% penalty under § 542 of the Texas Insurance Code for its unreasonable denial and delay in paying Policy benefits;
  - g. Metlife pay all of Plaintiffs' costs of suit, attorney's fees and expenses incurred in connection with asserting their rightful claim to Policy proceeds, and defending against any claims, defenses, or other such pleadings Metlife may file against Plaintiffs in all their capacities;
  - h. Metlife pay Plaintiffs' pre- and post-Judgment interest on all damages; and
  - i. Plaintiffs have all further relief to which they are entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

CRAIN, CATON & JAMES, P.C.

By: /s/ Joshua R. Flores
Sarah Patel Pacheco
State Bar No. 00788164
spacheco@craincaton.com
Joshua R. Flores
State Bar No. 24085110
jflores@craincaton.com
David A. Polsinelli
dpolsinelli@craincaton.com
State Bar No. 24088238
1401 McKinney, Suite 1700
Houston, Texas 77010
(713) 658-2323
(713) 658-1921 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

# Case 4:16-cv-02214 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 07/25/16 Ches Danie District Clerk

#### **CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET**

6/24/2016 5:26:44 PM TON SHEET Harris County
Envelope No: 11335161
By: CUERO, NELSON
COURT (FOR CLERK USE Filed: 6/24/2016 5:26:44 PM

CALICE	NUMBER	(EOD	CLEDV	TICE	OM VI.	
CAUSE	NUMBER	(FUR	CLEKK	USE	ONLY):	

- 0	TX/1	17	n	
	IYI	, H.	1)	

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at

the time of filing.			T			
1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet:		Names of parties in case:		Person or entity completing sheet is:		
Name: Sarah Patel Pacheco	Email: pacheco-		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner	(s):	Pro Se	ney for Plaintiff/Petitioner  Plaintiff/Petitioner  V-D Agency
Surum rater racineco	efile@craincaton.c	om	Wesley E. Wr	ight,	Other:	
Address:			Independent A		Additions	al Parties in Child Support Case:
1401 McKinney St.	Telephone:		of the Estate o			**
#1700	713-752-8630		Boyd, a/k/a Ri		Custodial	l Parent:
City/State/Zip:	Fax:		Botz; Ellen A.	•	Non-Cust	todial Parent:
Houston, TX 77010	713-658-1921		Individually a		Presumed Father:	
Signature:	State Bar No:		Attorney in Fa		-	
	00788164		Margaret E. B	Otz		
	<del></del>					
			Defendant(s)/Respond	dent(s):		
			Metlife Group	. Inc.		
				, 1110.		
	<u> </u>		[Attach additional page as ne	ecessary to list all parties]		
2. Indicate case type, or identify	the most important issue in the c	ase (selec	ct only 1):	1	E	
	Civil				<u> </u>	Post-judgment Actions
Contract	Injury or Damage		Real Property	Marriage Relati	onship	(non-Title IV-D)
Debt/Contract ☐ Consumer/DTPA ☐ Debt/Contract	Assault/Battery Construction Defamation		inent Domain/ ndemnation tition	Annulment Declare Marriage Void Divorce		☐ Enforcement ☐ Modification—Custody ☐ Modification—Other
Fraud/Misrepresentation	Malpractice		iet Title	With Childr	-	Title IV-D
Other Debt/Contract:	Accounting Legal		spass to Try Title her Property:	■No Children	i	Enforcement/Modification Paternity
Foreclosure	Medical		——————————————————————————————————————		ļ	Reciprocals (UIFSA)
Home Equity—Expedited Other Foreclosure	Other Professional Liability:					Support Order
Franchise	Motor Vehicle Accident	Re	elated to Criminal	Other Femile	Low	Donont Child Deletionship
Insurance Landlord/Tenant	Premises  Product Liability	Matters  Expunction		Other Family Law  Enforce Foreign		Parent-Child Relationship  Adoption/Adoption with
Non-Competition	Asbestos/Silica	☐Judgment Nisi		Judgment		Termination
Partnership	Other Product Liability	□ Non-Disclosure □ Seizure/Forfeiture		Habeas Corpus Name Change		Child Protection Child Support
Other Contract:	List Product:		it of Habeas Corpus—	Protective Order		Custody or Visitation
	Other Injury or Damage:	Pre	-indictment	Removal of D	isabilities	Gestational Parenting
		Oth	ier:	of Minority Other:		Grandparent Access Paternity/Parentage
Employment	Other Civil					Termination of Parental
Discrimination	Administrative Appeal		wyer Discipline			Rights Other Parent-Child:
Retaliation Termination	Antitrust/Unfair Competition		petuate Testimony curities/Stock			
Workers' Compensation	Code Violations		tious Interference			
Other Employment:	Foreign Judgment	Oth	ner:			
	Intellectual Property	-				
Tax			Probate & M	Iental Health		
Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administ  Dependent Administration			Guardianship—Adu		
Other Tax	Independent Administration			Guardianship—Minor Mental Health		
	Other Estate Proceedings			Other:		
3. Indicate procedure or remed	I y, if applicable (may select more th	an 1):				